



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,645	02/06/2002	Scot A. Kellar	219.40606X00	4506

20457 7590 06/10/2003

ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS
SUITE 1800
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

EXAMINER

BEREZNY, NEMA O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2813

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/066,645

Applicant(s)

KELLAR ET AL.

Examiner

Nema O Berezny

Art Unit

2813

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8-20 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 8-9, 11, 14-15, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gupta et al. (2002/0163072). Gupta discloses a three-dimensional (3-D) integrated chip system, comprising: a first wafer (Figs.2-10 el.202) including one or more integrated circuit (IC) devices (el.112), metallic lines (el.114,115) deposited via an interlevel dielectric (ILD) (el.113,116) for wafer-to-wafer bonding and electrical interconnection, and an ILD recess surrounding the metallic lines deposited via the ILD (Fig.7); and a second wafer (el.100) including one or more integrated circuit (IC) devices (el.112), metallic lines (el.114,115) deposited via an interlevel dielectric (ILD) (el.113,116) for wafer-to-wafer bonding and electrical interconnection, and an ILD

Art Unit: 2813

recess surrounding the metallic lines deposited via the ILD (Fig.7), wherein the metallic lines on the surface of the second wafer are bonded with the metallic lines on the surface of the first wafer to facilitate direct metal bonding between the first and second wafers to establish electrical connections between active IC devices on the adjacent wafers (Fig.8; p.3 para.29-30). Gupta also discloses wherein the metallic lines include Copper (Cu) bonding pads deposited on opposing surface of the adjacent wafers to serve as electrical contacts between active IC devices on both the adjacent wafers (p.3 para.29); wherein the ILD recess is created by selectively etching the ILD surrounding the metallic lines deposited via the ILD (p.3 para.29); and wherein the first wafer is thinner than the second wafer to conform to height differences of the metallic lines across opposing surfaces of the adjacent wafers (p.3 para.31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta as applied to claims 8-9 and 14-15 above, and further in view of Desai et al. (5,656,554). Gupta does not disclose wherein the ILD recess is created by a Chemical Mechanical Polish (CMP). However, Desai discloses controlling the solution of a CMP slurry wherein a surrounding dielectric material would be polished or removed at a

Art Unit: 2813

faster rate than a center conductive/metallic plug (col.4 lines 9-15, 20-38; col.5 lines 6-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the CMP slurry and procedure of Desai with the IC system of Gupta in order to not overpolish or dish a conductive plug surrounded by dielectric material.

Claims 12-13 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta as applied to claims 8-9, 11, 14-15, and 17 above, and further in view of Barth et al. (2003/0003703) and Forouhi et al. (2003/0053081). Gupta does not disclose a high temperature deformable interlayer dielectric, or a SiLK dielectric. However, Barth discloses wherein the ILD is a high-temperature deformable dielectric used to allow the bonding areas to be self-leveling to account for height variations across the adjacent wafers to be bonded, and wherein the high -temperature deformable dielectric is SILK which exhibits a glass transition near 450 degrees C while the metallic lines exhibit a bonding temperature of about 400 degrees C (p.2 para.18-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the SiLK dielectric of Barth with the IC system of Gupta. SiLK dielectric has energy band gaps and extinction coefficients that are sensitive indicators of the curing temperature and time for the curing process (Forouhi - p.1 para.10).


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nema O Berezny whose telephone number is (703) 305-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (703) 308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

NB
June 6, 2003


CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800